

Reason(s) for the Innovation:

The separation of cotton took a long time, and a lot of manual labour. This made it expensive, and hard to come by.

Reason(s) for the Innovation:

Creating clothing took a long time to make, and had to be made by hand, one at a time.

Reason(s) for the Innovation:

Wooden plows were not very durable. They were also quite inefficient.

Innovation:

Cotton Gin

Innovation:

Sewing Machine

Innovation:

Steel Plow

3 reasons it was important (3 consequences):

Cotton previously took a very long time to manufacture. The cotton gin made it very easy to produce cotton cheaply and efficiently. This allowed for cotton to become cheaper, and easier to come by.

3 reasons it was important (3 consequences):

Clothing could be made quickly, and cheaply. This was miles better than the previous method of sewing by hand. This made it so people wouldn't have to spend countless hours making clothing.

3 reasons it was important (3 consequences):

The steel plow was able to cut through soil much faster than wooden plows, allowing the farmers to spend less time working on their land.

Production of cotton required lots of manual labour. The cotton gin was automated, meaning it required far less human labour, further decreasing the price of cotton.

Clothing could be mass produced. Clothing began to be made in factories, by workers, as opposed to at home, by the wearer. Clothing was made in factories and sold. This made new clothing easier to come by.

The steel plow turned over the soil more effectively. This improved soil quality and fertility, which led to increased crop production.

Because cotton was so cheap and abundant, cotton became more popular. This allowed for the textiles industry to take off.

The quality of clothing increased. Sewing machines were more consistent with stitching. Because of this clothing would last longer, decreasing the need for new clothing constantly.

The wooden plows would rot or break, forcing the farmer to constantly replace their plow. The steel plow was durable. It would last far longer.

